

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/737,232	12/15/2003	Eric S. Fain	VT0329-US1	6366
	24473 7	590 08/25/2005		EXAM	INER
	STEVEN M MITCHELL			GREENE, DANA D	
	PACESETTER	RINC			
	701 EAST EVELYN AVENUE SUNNYVALE, CA 94086			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3762	

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/737,232	FAIN, ERIC S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dana D. Greene	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 December 2003</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.	· <u> </u>					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/15/05</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/23/04.	, 🚨					
	·					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 19 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "improving classification a tachycardia" should be replaced with "improving classification of a tachycardia." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Gillberg et al. (US 6,393,316, hereinafter "Gillberg"). Gillberg is considered to disclose:

a method comprising: storing intervals corresponding to the sequence of complexes (see col. 5, In. 30-35 and col. 8, In. 47-56, Gillberg). The disclosed method of storing counts is considered to anticipate the claimed method of storing intervals corresponding to the sequence of complexes because both methods aid in the enhancement of tachycardia classification associated with a sequence of sensed cardiac complexes;

analyzing the stored intervals (see col. 8, ln. 47-56, Gillberg). The disclosed method of analysis is considered to anticipate the claimed analysis of stored intervals because both derive information from studying the stored EMG segments and use this

information to determine whether tachyarrhythmias are present and to distinguish between different types of tachyarrhythmias;

excluding one or more of the morphology scores based upon the analyzed intervals (see col. 8, ln. 47-56 and col. 8, ln. 65 – col. 9, ln. 2, Gillberg). The disclosed discrimination method is considered to anticipate the claimed method of excluding because both operate as morphology discrimination techniques to accurately classify supra-ventricular complexes created as a result of certain conditions.

With reference to claims 2 and 3, Gillberg is considered to disclose the method wherein the rhythm is a tachycardia and wherein the stored intervals are R-R intervals (see col. 8, In. 39-56, Gillberg). The disclosed rhythm and intervals are considered to anticipate the claimed invention because both enhance classification of tachycardias.

Referring to claim 4, the Gillberg reference teaches a method comprising the step of distinguishing between ventricular and supra-ventricular tachycardias based on the excluding step (see col. 2, In. 15-20, Gillberg). The disclosed method anticipates the claimed method of distinction because both advocate the exclusion of short interval complexes from the MD score, thus enabling the morphology discrimination technique to more accurately determine whether the tachyarrhythmia is ventricular or supra-ventricular.

With reference to claims 5-8, Gillberg is considered to disclose:

an analyzing step comprising determining a length of each of the stored intervals and comparing the determined length with a threshold value (see col. 4, ln. 63 – col. 5, ln. 13 and col. 19, ln. 10-20, Gillberg). The disclosed step of analysis is considered to

anticipate the claimed step because both utilize the method of analysis to determine whether the length of the current interval is shorter than the threshold and to determine the threshold value.

Referring to claims 9-10, the Gillberg reference teaches a distinguishing step including determining if a predetermined number of complexes of a total number of required complexes (X of Y) have a morphology score greater than a predetermined threshold and includes a step of not altering the value of X or Y associated with the current interval when the current interval length is shorter than the predetermined length (see col. 20, In. 1-30, Gillberg). The disclosed method of rate based detection and EGM morphology is considered to anticipate the claimed method because both employ discrimination techniques for altering or reducing the value of X and Y in relation to the current interval length.

With reference to claims 11-18 and 22, Gillberg is considered to disclose:

means for sensing a sequence of cardiac complexes (see col. 3, ln. 65 – col. 4,
ln. 11, Gillberg). The disclosed sensing electrode is considered to anticipate the
claimed sensing means because both are used to detect electrical activity in the
ventricles;

means for measuring and storing a sequence of R-R intervals between successive complexes, each interval corresponding to a subsequent complex; means for detecting a tachycardia based on the measured intervals; means for analyzing the stored intervals when the tachycardia is detected; means determining whether a selected one of the stored intervals is shorter than a predetermined length; means for

ignoring the complex corresponding to the selected interval if the length of the selected interval is shorter than the predetermined length (see col. 8, In. 10-56, Gillberg). The disclosed basic mechanism is considered to anticipate the claimed means for measuring and storing because both use information derived from the analysis of stored EMG segments to determine whether tachyarrhythmias are present and to distinguish

Page 5

means for calculating a morphology score based on a comparison to a template for at least each complex not ignored; and means for classifying the tachycardia based on the morphology scores of complexes not ignored (see col. 8, ln. 47-56 and col. 8, ln. 65 - col. 9, In. 2, Gillberg). The disclosed discrimination devices considered to anticipate the claimed calculating means because both operate using morphology discrimination techniques to accurately classify supra-ventricular complexes created as a result of certain conditions.

between different types of tachyarrhythmias based on predetermined length;

Referring to claim 19, the Gillberg reference teaches a method for improving classification of a tachycardia, the tachycardia being associated with a sequence of complexes, each complex having a calculated morphology score, comprising the steps of: storing intervals corresponding to the sequence of complexes; analyzing the stored intervals; and excluding one or more of the morphology scores based upon the analyzed intervals (see col. 5, In. 30-35 and col. 8, In. 47-56, Gillberg). The disclosed method of storing counts is considered to anticipate the claimed method of storing intervals corresponding to the sequence of complexes because both methods aid in the enhancement of tachycardia classification associated with a sequence of sensed

Art Unit: 3762

cardiac complexes. Gillberg discloses the step of analyzing the stored intervals (see col. 8, ln. 47-56, Gillberg). The disclosed method of analysis is considered to anticipate the claimed analysis of stored intervals because both derive information from studying the stored EMG segments and use this information to determine whether tachyarrhythmias are present and to distinguish between different types of tachyarrhythmias. Finally, the Gillberg reference teaches the step of excluding one or more of the morphology scores based upon the analyzed intervals (see col. 8, ln. 47-56 and col. 8, ln. 65 – col. 9, ln. 2, Gillberg). The disclosed discrimination method is considered to anticipate the claimed method of excluding because both operate as morphology discrimination techniques to accurately classify supra-ventricular complexes created as a result of certain conditions.

Referring to claims 20-21, Gillberg is considered to disclose:

Comparing the stored intervals to an interval threshold and classifying a tachycardia as ventricular or supra-ventricular by analyzing the morphology scores not included (see col. 4, ln. 63 – col. 5, ln. 13 and col. 19, ln. 10-20, Gillberg). The disclosed step of analysis is considered to anticipate the claimed step because both utilize the method of analysis to determine whether the length of the current interval is shorter than the threshold and to determine the threshold value. Referring to the step of classifying, Gillberg operates using morphology discrimination techniques to accurately classify supra-ventricular complexes created as a result of certain conditions (see col. 8, ln. 47-56 and col. 8, ln. 65 – col. 9, ln. 2, Gillberg).

Application/Control Number: 10/737,232 Page 7

Art Unit: 3762

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dana D. Greene whose telephone number is (571) 272-7138. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Muceus Dana D. Greene

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Congel. D. Al